gest the allyl ion as the ionic product. The activation energy (46 kcal/mole) given in Table I is computed relative to the 2-propyl cation (ΔH_f = 192 kcal/mol).[14] Since the kinetic energy release in H_2 loss is 8 kcal/mol, the maximum possible energy content of the $C_3H_5^+$ ion is 230 kcal/mol. Calculations[15] of the heats of formation (otherwise unavailable) of cyclopropyl (257 kcal/mol), 2-propenyl (233 kcal/mol), and 1-propenyl (249 kcal/mol) exclude all product structures except allyl (experimental ΔH_f = 226 kcal/mol[16]) and possibly 2-propenyl. The allyl ion is certainly the best candidate, and even this most stable structure on the $C_3H_7^+$ manifold allows only 4 kcal/mol of internal energy of the products.

Calculations of energies of $C_3H_7^+$ cations[17] indicate that the presence of 46 kcal/mol of internal energy in excess of the heat of formation of 2-propyl will allow interconversion among at least seven plausible geometries of $C_3H_7^+$, all of which either cannot be generated or appear unlikely to be generated, in a smooth transition *via* 1,1-addition of H_2 to the allyl ion. It is therefore suggested that the forward reaction is represented *via* concerted 1,2- or 1,3-elimination from the 1-propyl or 2-propyl cation, respectively (**8** → **9** or **10** → **9**).



8



9 **10**

These suggestions, based on energetic considerations, are in accord with the concepts outlined in this and the preceding communication,[1] since both **8** → **9** and **10** → **9** represent concerted symmetry-forbidden reactions which should occur with release of kinetic energy, as observed (Figure 1d).

Since reaction 5 occurs with a large release of kinetic energy (Figure 1e, 20 kcal/mol), we formulate this reaction as the symmetry-forbidden loss of H_2 from a dihydrotropylium cation *via* either 1,2- or 1,3-elimination (**11** → **12**, or **13** → **12**). The same flat-topped metastable peak is observed irrespective of whether the $C_7H_9^+$ ion is generated *via* fragmentation of benzyl methyl ether,[10] protonation of cycloheptatriene in a chemical ionization source, or *via* protonation of toluene.[18]

It is striking that, in comparing the behavior of the



11 **12** **13**

homologs $C_2H_5^+$ and $C_3H_7^+$ or $C_6H_7^+$ and $C_7H_9^+$, no significant kinetic energy release occurs in H_2 loss where vinylium ion structures are forced upon the products, but kinetic energy release occurs where 1,2- or 1,3 elimination can give rise to π-delocalized cations (allyl or tropylium).

The 1,1-eliminations of hydrogen considered in this paper are "four-electron" reactions, in contrast to processes where the reverse bimolecular reaction involves the addition of molecular hydrogen to a cation in a "two-electron" reaction. Reactions of the latter type are also symmetry-allowed and accordingly may occur through the most probable channel without a large and relatively specific release of translational energy. In line with expectations, the most probable channel for the reaction $H_3^+ \rightarrow H^+ + H_2$ results in minimum kinetic energy release and maximum vibrational excitation of H_2.[19] The kinetic energy released in the reaction $CH_5^+ \rightarrow CH_3^+ + H_2$ does not appear to have been reported, but if this reaction occurs slowly enough for the observation of a metastable peak, then the peak shape is expected to indicate that this symmetry-allowed process occurs without a large release of kinetic energy.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank the Science Research Council (UK) and AEI (Manchester, UK) for financial support of the work described in this and the accompanying communication.[1] We thank Dr. J. M. Wilson (Manchester) for the chemical ionization spectra. G. H. wishes to thank the Royal Norwegian Council for Scientific and Industrial Research and NATO for financial support.

(19) R. G. Cooks and J. H. Beynon, *Chem. Commun.*, 1282 (1971).

Dudley H. Williams,* Georg Hvistendahl
University Chemical Laboratory
Cambridge, England
Received March 12, 1974

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. $^{13}C-^{15}N$ Coupling Constants as a Conformational Probe?[1]

Sir:

There have been several attempts to explain the variation in $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling constants with the stereochemical orientation of the carbons with respect to the nitrogen lone pairs.[2,3] Most of the substances in-

(1) Supported by the National Science Foundation and by the Public Health Service, Research Grant No. GM-11072, from the Division of General Medical Sciences.

(2) For two recent reviews on coupling constants involving ^{14}N and ^{15}N, see (a) R. L. Lichter in "Determination of Organic Structure by Physical Methods," Vol. 4, J. J. Zuckermann and F. C. Nachod, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1972, p 195; (b) T. Axenrod in "Nitrogen NMR," M. Witanowski and G. A. Webb, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N. Y., 1973, p 261.

(3) (a) R. L. Lichter, C. G. Fehder, P. H. Patton, and J. Combes, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 114 (1974); (b) P. S. Pregosin, E. W. Randall, and A. I. White, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1 (1972); (c) R. L. Lichter and J. D. Roberts, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **93**, 5218 (1971).

(14) J. L. Franklin, J. G. Dillard, H. M. Rosenstock, J. T. Herron, and K. Draxl, "Ionization Potentials, Appearance Potentials and Heats of Formation of Gaseous Positive Ions," National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C., 1969.

(15) L. Radom, P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, and P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 6531 (1973).

(16) F. P. Lossing, *Can. J. Chem.*, **49**, 357 (1971).

(17) L. Radom, J. A. Pople, V. Buss, and P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 311 (1972).

(18) See also J. H. Beynon, W. E. Baitinger, and J. W. Amy, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.*, **3**, 55 (1969).

vestigated so far, however, have the possibility of averaging of coupling constants due to bond rotation and/or nitrogen inversion. The only relatively rigid substances looked at have had aromatic[3b,c] nitrogen, and, with these, other effects are expected to play a role.

We have initiated a study of $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling constants of azabicyclic compounds, where some of the limitations of previous work could be overcome, and we present here a comparison of ^{15}N-labeled quinuclidine (**1**) and 1-propylamine (**2**). With **1**, the C_α, C_β,



1



2

and C_γ carbon atoms are essentially in fixed positions, while with **2**, these carbons are involved in rapid conformational equilibration and, of course, there is also rapid nitrogen inversion.

To synthesize quinuclidine[4] with a ^{15}N label, Prelog's procedure[5,6] has the advantage of introducing the nitrogen in the very last step. We have therefore prepared quinuclidine-^{15}N by the directions described for the Prelog synthesis by Lukes.[6] 1-Propylamine-^{15}N was synthesized by the Gabriel synthesis[7] following Smith and Emerson.[8] The $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling constants were measured on our "Brukarian" DFS-60 spectrometer,[9] using $CDCl_3$ as solvent both for the free bases and their hydrochlorides, and as internal field-frequency lock at a probe temperature of 30°. The smallest possible sweep width (600 Hz) was chosen to allow an acquistion time of 6.6 sec, yielding a final spectral resolution of 0.15 Hz/point after a 8 K Fourier transform. All spectra were taken at least three times. The deviations in subsequent runs were a maximum of ±0.1 Hz. Care was taken in preparing the samples to exclude water.[10] The results for the free bases and their hydrochlorides are given in Table I.

Table I. $^{15}N-^{13}C$ Coupling Constants, in Hz

	Quinuclidine	Quinuclidine·HCl	1-Propylamine	1-Propylamine·HCl
C_α	2.1	4.8	3.9	4.4
C_β	<0.2	<0.2	1.2	<0.2
C_γ	2.8	6.7	1.4	1.3

Comparison of the couplings for quinuclidine and 1-propylamine shows that $^2J_{NC}$ is larger for 1-propylamine than for quinuclidine and $^3J_{NC}$ in quinuclidine is even larger than $^1J_{NC}$, whereas $^3J_{NC}$ is comparable with $^2J_{NC}$ in propylamine and considerably less than $^1J_{NC}$. The results indicate that there is a considerable directional effect on J_{NC} for these compounds. Assuming the nitrogen lone pair is in something like an sp^3 orbital, the dihedral angle between this orbital and the $C_\alpha-C_\beta$ bond in quinuclidine can be assumed to be 180°, whereas this is only true for one particular rotational conformation of 1-propylamine as regards the C_α–N bond. The fact that on protonation of the nitrogen of 1-propylamine $^2J_{NC}$ becomes undetectable supports this assumption, because now, there is no preferred conformation about the C_α–N bond.

The magnitude of $^3J_{NC}$ in quinuclidine could be the result of a smaller average C_γ–N distance than for 1-propylamine or through assumption of a through-space interaction between C_γ and the back lobe of the electron pair orbital.[11] This latter explanation does not appear to accord with the fact that the *ratio* of $^1J_{NC}/^3J_{NC}$ for quinuclidine and its hydrochloride is almost the same, and for quinuclidine hydrochloride the back-lobe interaction should be less important. Because the ratio of $^1J_{NC}/^3J_{NC}$ for 1-propylamine and its hydrochloride is not very different, there seems no pronounced directional effect on three-bond carbon–nitrogen couplings. That the coupling information can be transmitted by three equivalent pathways in quinuclidine to C_γ provides perhaps an explanation for the magnitude of the ^{15}N to $^{13}C_\gamma$ couplings for this substance. To test these qualitative considerations, we have calculated the $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling constants using the INDO approach of Pople and Beveridge[12] taking only the Fermi contact contribution into consideration. Although the absolute values of the coupling constants obtained by this treatment are off by a factor of 3–5 on the average, the overall experimental trends are generally well reproduced. For quinuclidine, $^1J_{NC}$ and $^3J_{NC}$ are predicted to be of the same order of magnitude, and $^2J_{NC}$ is predicted to be very small and of opposite sign. For quinuclidine hydrochloride, $^3J_{NC}$ is predicted to be somewhat larger than $^1J_{NC}$.

The calculations for 1-propylamine were made with three different conformations: fully staggered (**2a**), staggered, but with a dihedral angle of 60° between the lone-pair orbital and the $C_\alpha-C_\beta$ (**2b**), and in a conformation with the three carbon atoms and the positions they would have in quinuclidine (**2c**). Both in the fully



2a



2b



2c

staggered conformation **2a** and the quinuclidine conformation **2c**, the value of $^2J_{NC}$ is predicted to be much too small. However, in conformation **2b**, $^2J_{NC}$ is suggested to be even larger than $^1J_{NC}$ and $^3J_{NC}$. These results indicate that some intermediate position could

(4) L. N. Yakhontov, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 38, 470 (1969).

(5) V. Prelog, D. Kohlbach, E. Cerkovnikov, A. Režek, and N. Piantanida, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **532**, 69 (1937).

(6) R. Lukeš, C. Štrouf, and M. Ferles, *Collect. Czech. Commun.*, 22, 1173 (1957); purification of quinuclidine was achieved by preparative gas chromatography.

(7) S. Gabriel, *Chem. Ber.*, **24**, 3104 (1891).

(8) L. I. Smith and O. H. Emerson, *Org. Syn.*, **29**, 18 (1949).

(9) B. L. Hawkins and J. D. Roberts, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S.*, 70, 1027 (1973).

(10) 1-Propylamine appears to exchange its NH protons in $CDCl_3$ to about a 50% equilibrium, as judged from the appearance of two nearly equally intense deuterium signals in the lock channel. This seems not to have any effect on the couplings, because in acetone-d_6, where the H–D exchange rate is very different, the $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling constants were the same as in $CDCl_3$ within experimental error.

(11) R. Hoffmann, A. Imamura, and W. J. Hehre, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 90, 1499 (1968).

(12) (a) J. A. Pople and D. L. Beveridge, "Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y., 1970, p 149; (b) we are indebted to Dr. Willard R. Wadt for suggesting the necessary changes in our CNINDO program and for many helpful discussions; (c) calculations on $^{15}N-^1H$ coupling constants using the same approach are described in detail by R. Wasylishen and T. Schaefer, *Can. J. Chem.*, **50**, 2989 (1972).

well be the true conformation. Further work of this kind could well give more insight into the conformational dependence of $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling constants and, hence, the conformation of alkylamines in solution.

(13) Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Postdoctoral Fellow, 1973–1974.

Stefan Berger,[13] John D. Roberts*
Contribution No. 4930
Gates and Crellin Laboratories of Chemistry
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91109
Received July 26, 1974

Stereospecific Synthesis of 7-Thiaprostaglandins

Sir:

It has been amply demonstrated that 7-oxa derivatives of the prostaglandins[1-3] may function as either prostaglandin agonists or antagonists[4] depending on the degree of hydroxyl substitution.[5] It was felt that replacement of the ether oxygen by sulfur might have interesting biological consequences, in light of experiences, among others, in the steroid field,[6] and when considering the well-known equivalence of oxybiotin and biotin in the nutrition of most biotin-requiring species.[7]

We wish to report a stereospecific synthesis of *nat*-7-thia-$PGF_{1\alpha}$ (**1**), *ent*-15-epi-7-thia-$PGF_{1\alpha}$ (**2**), and *rac*-7-thia-13-prostynoic acid (**3**),[8] in which the trans geometry of the two side chains is established by substitution reactions involving episulfonium intermediates. The elaboration of the basic skeletal structure is exemplified by the synthesis of **3**, which is compatible with the additional functionality required for **1** and **2**. Reaction of cyclopentene oxide with methyl 6-mercaptohexanoate[9] in the presence of sodium methoxide in methanol at 25° for 5 hr produced the trans hydroxy ester **4** (98%), which was hydrolyzed to the oily acid **4a**[10] (98%) with 2% KOH in methanol at 25°. Treatment of **4a** with methanesulfonyl chloride in pyridine at 0° for 1 hr afforded the trans chloro acid **4b** in 82% yield, evidently formed by attack of chloride ion



on the expected mesylate (**4a**, X = OSO_2CH_3) *via* the episulfonium intermediate **5**.[11]

Evidence for the formation of such a symmetrical intermediate was obtained as follows. 2-(1′-Hydroxyhexyl-6′-thio)cyclopentanol (**6**), prepared by a sequence of reactions analogous to that employed for **4**, was resolved *via* the diurethane obtained with (+)-α-phenethylamine isocyanate in boiling toluene for 24 hr and crystallization from ethyl acetate–hexane, mp 87–88°, $[\alpha]D$ −62° (*c* 1.6).[12]

Reduction with LAH in THF gave (−)-**6** of unknown absolute configuration, $[\alpha]D$ −21° (*c* 2.9), which was converted to the monotrityl ether **6a** with trityl chloride (1.2 equiv) in pyridine, $[\alpha]D$ −10° (*c* 0.65). Reaction with methanesulfonyl chloride in pyridine at 0° for 1 hr gave the chloro thioether **6b** devoid of significant optical activity ($[\alpha]D$ +1°, (*c* 1.1), indicating that racemization had taken place, most probably

(1) J. Fried, T. S. Santhanakrishnan, J. Himizu, C. H. Lin, S. H. Ford, B. Rubin, and E. O. Grigas, *Nature (London)*, **233**, 208 (1969).
(2) J. Fried, M. M. Mehra, W. L. Kao, and C. H. Lin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2695 (1970).
(3) J. Fried, M. M. Mehra, and W. L. Kao, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **93**, 5594 (1971).
(4) (a) F. A. Kuehl, Jr., J. L. Humes, J. Tarnoff, V. J. Cirillo, and E. A. Ham, *Science*, **169**, 883 (1970); (b) S. Sato, M. Szabo, K. Kowalsky, and G. Burke, *Endocrinology*, **90**, 343 (1972); (c) G. Illiano and P. Cuatrecasas, *Nature (London), New Biol.*, **234**, 72 (1971); (d) A. Ozer and G. W. G. Sharp, *Amer. J. Physiol.*, **222**, 674 (1972); (e) M. A. Marrazzi and F. M. Matchinsky, *Prostaglandins*, 1, 373 (1972); (f) R. G. McDonald-Gibson, J. D. Flack, and P. W. Ramwell, *Biochem. J.*, **132**, 117 (1973); (g) A. Ratner, M. C. Wilson, and G. T. Peake, *Prostaglandins*, **3**, 413 (1973); (h) H. S. Kantor, P. Tao, and H. C. Kiefer, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S.*, **71**, 1317 (1974).
(5) J. Fried, C. H. Lin, M. M. Mehra, W. L. Kao, and P. Dalven, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, **180**, 38 (1971).
(6) M. E. Wolff and G. Zanati, *J. Med. Chem.*, **12**, 629 (1969); **14**, 958 (1971); **15**, 368 (1972).
(7) A. White, P. Handler, and E. L. Smith, "Principles of Biochemistry," 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, 1970, 1034.
(8) Resolution of this acid was not attempted since (+)- and (−)-7-oxa-13-prostynoic acids possessed equal biological activity. *Cf.* ref 5.
(9) Prepared in 90% yield from 6-bromohexanoic acid and thiourea in DMSO (H. L. Pan and T. L. Fletcher, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 546 (1968)), followed by methylation.
(10) All new products were characterized by nmr and mass spectra and gave correct elemental analyses.
(11) C. D. Anderson, L. Goodman, and B. R. Baker, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **81**, 898 (1959).
(12) All rotations in chloroform at 25° unless indicated otherwise.